Hybrid high-order methods for the numerical simulation of elasto-acoustic wave propagation

Romain Mottier§†‡

Alexandre $Ern^{\dagger\ddagger}$ and Laurent Guillot.[§]

ECCOMAS, Lisbon - Portugal, 03-07 June 2024,

§ CEA, DAM, DIF, F-91297 Arpajon, France $^\ddag$ CERMICS, Ecole des Ponts, F-77455 Marne la Vallée cedex 2 † SERENA Project-Team, INRIA Paris, F-75589 Paris France

Email adress: romain.mottier@outlook.com

Table of Contents

- 1 [Introduction](#page-2-0)
	- [Context](#page-3-0)
	- \bullet **[dG and HHO methods](#page-4-0)**
	- [Model Problem](#page-8-0)
- 2 [RK-HHO discretization](#page-10-0)
	- [HHO space semi-discretization](#page-11-0)
	- [Singly diagonally implicit RK schemes](#page-15-0)
	- [Explicit RK schemes](#page-17-0)
- 3 [Numerical results](#page-20-0)
	- [Convergence rates](#page-21-0)
	- [Ricker wavelet](#page-24-0)
	- **[Sedimentary basin](#page-26-0)**

Table of Contents

1 [Introduction](#page-2-0)

- [Context](#page-3-0)
- \bullet [dG and HHO methods](#page-4-0)
- [Model Problem](#page-8-0)

[RK-HHO discretization](#page-10-0)

- [HHO space semi-discretization](#page-11-0)
- [Singly diagonally implicit RK schemes](#page-15-0)
- [Explicit RK schemes](#page-17-0)

3 [Numerical results](#page-20-0)

- [Convergence rates](#page-21-0)
- [Ricker wavelet](#page-24-0)
- **[Sedimentary basin](#page-26-0)**

Goal

Accurate modeling and simulation of seismo-accuration waves through heterogeneous domains with complex geometries

Fig. 1: Global seismic wave propagation Fig. 2: Local heterogeneities of the Earth

Minimize numerical dispersion and dissipation for long time propagation

Commonly used numerical tools

- Spectral Element Method (cG) / Finite Differences (FDTD)
- Main issue: Complex mesh generation for realistic geological structures

cG vs. dG methods

Main advantages of dG methods

- **Mesh flexibility:** Handling of unstructured / polyhedral meshes
- **Local conservativity at the element level**
- Same order of convergence as cG for smooth solutions:
	- \blacktriangleright H¹-error: $\mathcal{O}(h^k)$ \blacktriangleright L^2 -error: $\mathcal{O}(h^{k+1})$

Drawbacks of dG methods

Higher computational cost and memory requirement

Fig. 3: Discrete unknowns for cG and dG methods

Introduction to HHO methods

Seminal papers: [Di Pietro, Ern, and Lemaire, [2014\]](#page-0-1), [Di Pietro and Ern, [2015\]](#page-0-1)

Degrees of freedom

Polynomial unknowns attached to mesh cells and faces

HHO unknowns:

$$
\hat{u}_h := (u_{\mathcal{T}}, u_{\mathcal{F}}) \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}_h
$$

Cell unknowns, degree $k' \in \{k, k+1\}$ \blacklozenge Face unknowns, degree $k \geq 0$

Fig. 4: Local HHO unknowns. Left: $k' = k = 0$. Right: $k' = k + 1 = 1$.

- ▶ Equal-order: $k' = k$
- \blacktriangleright Mixed-order: $k' = k + 1$

Design

Gradient reconstruction operator:

 $\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}\right)_{|T} \to \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{T}}(\boldsymbol{\hat{u}}_T) \in \mathbb{P}^k(T;\mathbb{R}^d)$

Design of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_T)$ mimics an integration by parts

■ Stabilization operator:

$$
\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{H}}_{\partial T}(\boldsymbol{\hat{u}}_T) := \boldsymbol{u}_{\partial T} - \boldsymbol{u}_{T|\partial T} \approx \boldsymbol{0}
$$

Matching of cell dofs trace with face dofs (weakly)

Advantages of HHO over dG methods

IMPROVED EXTERNAL IMPROVED EXTEND Improved error estimates for smooth solutions

$$
\blacktriangleright H^1\text{-error: }\mathcal{O}(h^{k+1}) \qquad \blacktriangleright L^2\text{-error: }\mathcal{O}(h^{k+2})
$$

■ Attractive computational costs

Elimination of cell unknowns by static condensation

- ▶ Global problem couples only face dofs
- ▶ Cell dofs recovered by local post-processing

Link to other methods

 $HHO \equiv HDG \equiv WG \equiv ncVEM$

[Cockburn, Di Pietro, and Ern, [2016\]](#page-0-1) [Lemaire, [2020\]](#page-0-1) [Cicuttin, Ern, and Pignet, [2021\]](#page-0-1)

Fig. 5: Assembly and static condensation procedure in HHO framework

 $\Omega:=\Omega^{\text{\tiny S}}\cup\Omega^{\text{\tiny F}}$

Elasto-acoustic interface Γ

Fig. 6: Setting for elasto-acoustic coupling

Strong form of acoustic and elastic wave equation in $1st$ order formulation

$$
\begin{cases}\n\partial_t \varepsilon - \nabla_s v^s = 0 \\
\rho^s \partial_t v^s - \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{C} : \varepsilon) = f^s\n\end{cases}
$$
\n**Unknowns**\n
$$
\mathbf{v}^s
$$
\n**Matrix**\n
$$
\mathbf{v}^F
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{v}^F
$$
\n**Matrix**\n
$$
\mathbf{v}^F
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{v}^F
$$

Coupling conditions

 $\int_0^\infty \bm{\cdot} \bm{n}_\Gamma = \bm{v}^\mathrm{F} \cdot \bm{n}_\Gamma$ \triangleright Balance of mass $+$ Non-penetration condition

 $(\mathcal{C}:\varepsilon) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma} = p \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma}$ \longrightarrow Balance of forces

Initial and boundary conditions

- Initial conditions on $(\rho^{\rm s}, v^{\rm s})$ and $(\rho^{\rm r}, v^{\rm r})$ \blacksquare
- Homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega$ for simplicity

Table of Contents

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

- [Context](#page-3-0)
- [dG and HHO methods](#page-4-0)
- [Model Problem](#page-8-0)

2 [RK-HHO discretization](#page-10-0)

- [HHO space semi-discretization](#page-11-0)
- **•** [Singly diagonally implicit RK schemes](#page-15-0)
- [Explicit RK schemes](#page-17-0)

3 [Numerical results](#page-20-0)

- [Convergence rates](#page-21-0)
- [Ricker wavelet](#page-24-0)
- **[Sedimentary basin](#page-26-0)**

References

Same discretization as for acoustic [Burman, Duran, and Ern, [2022\]](#page-0-1) and elastic [Burman, Duran, Ern, and Steins, [2021\]](#page-0-1) problems, but with coupling terms

Local reconstruction operators

Strain reconstruction:
$$
\boldsymbol{E}_T(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_T^{\mathrm{s}}) \in \mathbb{P}^k(T;\mathbb{R}_\mathrm{sym}^{d \times d}) \text{ s.t. for all } \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_T^{\mathrm{s}} \in \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}}_T^{\mathrm{s}},
$$

$$
(\boldsymbol{E}_T(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_T^{\mathrm{s}}),\boldsymbol{\zeta})_T=(\nabla_s \boldsymbol{v}_T^{\mathrm{s}},\boldsymbol{\zeta})_T-(\boldsymbol{v}_T^{\mathrm{s}}-\boldsymbol{v}_{\partial T}^{\mathrm{s}},\boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_T)_{\partial T},\quad \forall \boldsymbol{\zeta}\in \mathbb{P}^k(T;\mathbb{R}_\mathrm{sym}^{d\times d})
$$

Gradient reconstruction: $G_T(\hat{p}_T) \in \mathbb{P}^k(T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. for all $\hat{p}_T \in \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_T^{\mathbb{F}}$,

$$
(\boldsymbol{G}_T(\hat{p}_T), \boldsymbol{q})_T = (\nabla p_T, \boldsymbol{q})_T - (p_T - p_{\partial T}, \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_T)_{\partial T}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{q} \in \mathbb{P}^k(T; \mathbb{R}^d)
$$

Local stabilization operators

■ Mixed-order discretization: Stabilization in HDG (Lehrenfeld-Schöberl)

$$
S_{\partial T}(\hat{p}_T) := \Pi_{\partial T}^k(p_T - p_{\partial T}) \qquad \mathbf{S}_{\partial T}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}_T^{\rm s}) := \mathbf{\Pi}_{\partial T}^k(\mathbf{v}_T^{\rm s} - \mathbf{v}_{\partial T}^{\rm s})
$$

Equal-order discretization: Specific stabilization to HHO

▶ Needs additional velocity and pressure reconstructions

HHO space semi-discretization for the elasto-acoustic coupling

 $\textbf{E} \textbf{lastic wave equation:} \quad \textbf{\emph{E}} \tau(\hat{\bm{v}}_h^{\text{s}})_{|T} := \textbf{\emph{E}} \tau(\hat{\bm{v}}_T^{\text{s}})$

 $(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{T}}(t), \boldsymbol{z}_{\mathcal{T}})_{\Omega^{\text{\tiny S}}} - (\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_h^{\text{\tiny S}}(t)), \boldsymbol{z}_{\mathcal{T}})_{\Omega^{\text{\tiny S}}} = 0$

 $(\rho^s\partial_t\bm{v}_{\mathcal{T}^s}^s(t),\bm{w}_{\mathcal{T}})_{\Omega^s}+(\bm{\mathcal{C}}\!:\!\bm{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{T}},\bm{E}_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{\bm{w}}_h))_{\Omega^s}+s_h^s(\hat{\bm{v}}_h^s,\hat{\bm{w}}_h)+(p_{\mathcal{F}}(t),\bm{w}_{\mathcal{T}}\cdot\bm{n}_\Gamma)_\Gamma=(\bm{f}^s(t),\bm{w}_{\mathcal{T}})_{\Omega^s}$

Acoustic wave equation: $G_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{p}_h)_{T} := G_{T}(\hat{p}_T)$

 $(\rho^{\mathrm{F}}\partial_t\bm{v}^{\mathrm{F}}t(t),\bm{r}_\mathcal{T})_{\Omega^{\mathrm{F}}} + (\bm{G}_\mathcal{T}(\hat{p}_h(t)),\bm{r}_\mathcal{T})_{\Omega^{\mathrm{F}}} = 0$ $(\frac{1}{\kappa} \partial_t p_{\mathcal{T}}(t), q_{\mathcal{T}})_{\Omega^{\text{F}}} - (\boldsymbol{v}^{\text{F}} t(t), \boldsymbol{G}_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{q}_h))_{\Omega^{\text{F}}} + s^{\text{F}}_h(\hat{p}_h(t), \hat{q}_h) - (\boldsymbol{v}^{\text{F}} s(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_\Gamma, q_{\mathcal{T}})_\Gamma = (f^{\text{F}}(t), q_{\mathcal{T}})_{\Omega^{\text{F}}}$

Global stabilization forms

$$
\begin{aligned} s_h^{\rm s}(\hat{\bm{v}}_h^{\rm s},\hat{\bm{\zeta}}_h) &= \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_h} \tau_T^{\rm s}(\bm{S}_{\partial T}(\hat{\bm{v}}_T^{\rm s}),\bm{S}_{\partial T}(\hat{\bm{\zeta}}_T))_{\partial T} \\ s_h^{\rm r}(\hat{p}_h,\hat{q}_h) &= \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_h^{\rm r}} \tau_T^{\rm r}(\bm{S}_{\partial T}(\hat{p}_T),\bm{S}_{\partial T}(\hat{\bm{q}}_T))_{\partial T} \end{aligned}
$$

 $T \in \mathcal{T}$ with two strategies: $\tau_T^s = \mathcal{O}(1) = \tau_T^F$ or $\tau_T^s = \mathcal{O}(1/h) = \tau_T^F$

Algebraic realization

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}^{v^r}_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{M}^r_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t} \begin{bmatrix} V^r_{\mathcal{T}^r} \\ P_{\mathcal{T}^r} \\ P_{\mathcal{T}^r} \\ S_{\mathcal{T}^s} \\ S_{\mathcal{T}^s} \\ \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -G_{\mathcal{T}} & -G_{\mathcal{F}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ G_{\mathcal{T}}^t & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^F & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^F \\ G_{\mathcal{T}}^t & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^F & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^F \\ G_{\mathcal{T}}^t & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^F & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^F \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -G_{\Gamma} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V^r_{\mathcal{T}^r} \\ P_{\mathcal{T}^r} \\ S_{\mathcal{T}^s} \\ S_{\mathcal{T}^s} \\ \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -G_{\mathcal{T}} & -G_{\mathcal{F}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ G_{\mathcal{T}}^t & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^F & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^F \\ G_{\mathcal{T}}^t & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^F & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^F \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -G_{\Gamma}^F \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V^r_{\mathcal{T}^r} \\ P_{\mathcal{T}^r} \\ S_{\mathcal{T}^s} \\ S_{\mathcal{T}^s} \\ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ P_{\mathcal{T}^r} \\ 0 \\ S_{\mathcal{T}^s} \\ \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Rearrangement of dofs: cell unknowns first and then face unknowns

$$
\begin{bmatrix} {\bf M}^{v^r}_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & {\bf M}^{r}_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & {\bf M}^{s}_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & {\bf M}^{s}_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix} \frac{{\bf V}^{r}_{\mathcal{T}^r}}{dt} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -{\bf G}_\mathcal{T} & 0 & 0 & -{\bf G}_\mathcal{F} & 0 \\ {\bf G}_\mathcal{T}^r & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^r & 0 & 0 & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}}^r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -{\bf E}_\mathcal{T} & 0 & -{\bf E}_\mathcal{F} \\ 0 & 0 & -{\bf E}_\mathcal{T} & 0 & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}}^r \\ \frac{{\bf G}_\mathcal{T}^r}{dt} & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^r & 0 & 0 & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}}^r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & {\bf G}_\mathcal{T} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix} \frac{{\bf V}^{r}_{\mathcal{T}^r}}{dt} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -{\bf G}_\mathcal{T} & 0 & 0 & -{\bf G}_\mathcal{F} & 0 \\ {\bf G}_\mathcal{T}^r & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^r & 0 & 0 & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}}^r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & {\bf E}_\mathcal{T}^r & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^r & 0 & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}}^r \\ {\bf G}_\mathcal{T}^r & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^r & 0 & 0 & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}}^r & -{\bf C}_\Gamma^r & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^r \\ 0 & 0 & {\bf E}_\mathcal{T}^r & \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}}^r & -{\bf C}_\Gamma^r & \Sigma
$$

 $SDIRK(s, s+1)$ schemes

Generic ODE with
$$
f: J \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m
$$

 $j=1$

$$
\begin{cases}\ny'(t) = f(t, y(t)), & \forall t \in J := [0, T) \\
y_{|t=0} = y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m\n\end{cases}
$$

 $,$

 $SDIRK(s, s + 1)$ consists \blacksquare

▶ in solving sequentially for all $i \in \{1, ..., s\},\$ $u_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{[n]} = u_{n-1} + \Delta t \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{i}$ $j=1$ $a_{ij} f(t_{n-1} + c_j \Delta t, u_j^{[n]})$ ▶ and setting $u_n := u_{n-1} + \Delta t \sum_{n=1}^s$ $b_j f(t_{n-1} + c_j \Delta t, u_j^{[n]})$ c_1 | a_* 0 · · · 0 c_2 a₂₁ a_{*} . . 0 c_s | a_{s1} · · · · $a_{s,s-1}$ a_* b_1 · · · · b_{s-1} b_s

II. [RK-HHO discretization](#page-10-0) II.2. [Singly diagonally implicit RK schemes](#page-15-0)

Algebraic realization of SDIRK-HHO

- Face-based sparse linear system to be solved at each stage
- We solve sequentially for all $i \in \{1, ..., s\},\$

The upper 4×4 submatrix associated with all the cell unknowns is block-diagonal

▶ Schur complement procedure

ERK(s) schemes

- **ERK**(s) consists
	- ▶ in updating sequentially for all $i \in \{1, ..., s\},\$

$$
u_i^{[n]} = u_{n-1} + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} f(t_{n-1} + c_j \Delta t, u_j^{[n]})
$$

▶ and setting

$$
u_n := u_{n-1} + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^s b_j f(t_{n-1} + c_j \Delta t, u_j^{[n]})
$$

\n
$$
c_1 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{21} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{s1} & \cdots & a_{s,s-1} & 0 \\ b_1 & \cdots & b_{s-1} & b_s \end{vmatrix}
$$

HHO-ERK scheme

 \blacksquare Coupling of face unknowns at the interface Γ

■ Key observation:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}}^{\text{F}} & C^{\Gamma} \\ -C_{\Gamma}^{\dagger} & \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}}^{\text{s}} \end{bmatrix}
$$
 has a block-diagonal structure for **mixed-order** HHO

Rearrangement of the face terms for the inversion of coupling block

Distinguish between internal faces in $\Omega^s \cup \Omega^r$ and faces located on Γ

Table of Contents

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

- [Context](#page-3-0)
- [dG and HHO methods](#page-4-0)
- [Model Problem](#page-8-0)

[RK-HHO discretization](#page-10-0)

- [HHO space semi-discretization](#page-11-0)
- [Singly diagonally implicit RK schemes](#page-15-0)
- [Explicit RK schemes](#page-17-0)

3 [Numerical results](#page-20-0)

- [Convergence rates](#page-21-0)
- [Ricker wavelet](#page-24-0)
- **[Sedimentary basin](#page-26-0)**

Computational parameters

- Space refinement: $h = 0.1 \times 2^{-\ell}$ (in each subdomain)
- Time refinement: $\Delta t = 0.1 \times 2^{-n}$

Meshes

Convergence rates in time

■ Analytical solution: polynomial in space, sinusoidal in time

■ SDIRK-HHO scheme ■ ERK-HHO scheme

$$
\blacktriangleright k' = k + 1 = 6 \qquad \blacktriangleright k
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \ell = 2 \qquad \blacktriangleright \ell = 1
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright n \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\} \qquad \blacktriangleright n \in \{6, 7, 8, 9\}
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \tau^{\mathrm{F}} = \mathcal{O}(1) = \tau^{\mathrm{S}}
$$

 $k' = k + 1 = 5$

$$
\blacktriangleright \ell = 1
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright n \in \{6, 7, 8, 6\}
$$

$$
\mathbf{F} = \mathcal{O}(1) = \tau^{\mathrm{S}}
$$

Convergence rates in space

- Analytical solution: polynomial in time, sinusoidal in space
- SDIRK(3,4)-HHO scheme $n = 8$ $\ell \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$

Fig. 10: L^2 -errors for the HHO-SDIRK(3,4) schemes as a function of the mesh-size. Left: $\tau^{\text{\tiny F}}_T = \mathcal{O}(1) = \tau^{\text{\tiny S}}_T$. Right: $\tau^{\text{\tiny F}}_T = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1}_T) = \tau^{\text{\tiny S}}_T$

Ricker wavelet

- **SDIRK(3,4)**, $k = 1$, $\ell = 7$, $n = 9$ **I** Homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions
- Initial condition: velocity Ricker wavelet centered at point $(x_c, y_c) \in \Omega_scf$,

$$
\boldsymbol{v_0}(x,y) := \theta e^{-\pi^2 \frac{r^2}{\lambda^2}} \begin{pmatrix} x - x_c \\ y - y_c \end{pmatrix}
$$

Realistic test case with strong property contrast: Granite-Water

Material properties:

- ► Granite: $\rho^s = 2800 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$, $c_p^s = 5000 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$, $c_s = 3000 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$
- ► Water: $\rho^{\text{F}} = 997 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$, $\kappa = 2.1 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa}$, $c_{\text{P}}^{\text{F}} = 1450 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$

Computational parameters: SDIRK(3,4), $n = 8$, $l = 7$, $k = 2$

Fig. 12: Left panel: Acoustic pressure (upper side) and elastic velocity norm (lower side) at time $t = 0.375$ s. Right panel: Comparison to analytical solution (Gar6more).

Propagation of an elastic pulse in sedimentary basin and atmosphere

Material properties:

- ▶ Sedimentary basin: ρ ^s = 1200 kg.m⁻³, c_p ^s = 3400 m.s⁻¹, c_s = 1400 m.s⁻¹
- ▶ Bedrock: ρ ^s = 5350 kg.m⁻³, c_p ^s = 3090 m.s⁻¹, c_s = 2570 m.s⁻¹
- ► Air: $\rho^{\text{F}} = 1.292 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}, c_{\text{P}}^{\text{F}} = 340 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$
- **Computational parameters:** SDIRK(3,4), $k = 1$, $\ell = 8$, $n = 9$
- **Homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions**
- **Initial condition:** velocity Ricker wavelet centered at point $(x_c, y_c) \in \Omega_s$ cs

Fig. 13: Mesh of sedimentary basin

Propagation of elastic pulse in sedimentary basin and atmosphere

Energy transfer enhancement above sedimentary basin

Fig. 14: Propagation of elastic pulse in sedimentary basin and atmosphere

Thank you for your attention !